

# 2015 MID-MICHIGAN SYMPOSIUM FOR UNDERGRADUATE RESEARCH EXPERIENCES

## POSTER PRESENTATION FEEDBACK

POSTER NUMBER:

PRESENTER EMAIL:

PRESENTERS:

CATEGORY:

PRESENTATION TIME:

POSTER TITLE:

EVALUATOR: \_\_\_\_\_

**FOR EACH AREA BELOW, PLEASE PROVIDE FEEDBACK USING THE FOLLOWING SCALE:**

1: Poor/Not Addressed

2: Fair

3: Good

4: Great

5: Exceptional

### INTRODUCTION AND GOALS

### FEEDBACK

Sufficient background is presented for understanding of the project	1	2	3	4	5
Appropriate hypothesis and/or research questions indicated	1	2	3	4	5
Goals and objectives clearly stated	1	2	3	4	5

### METHODS

### FEEDBACK

Methods utilized are clearly explained	1	2	3	4	5
Criteria for inclusion and exclusion are described (if applicable)	1	2	3	4	5

### RESULTS, DISCUSSION, & FUTURE WORK

### FEEDBACK

Progress to date is described	1	2	3	4	5
Figures/tables accurately convey information	1	2	3	4	5
Outcomes are clearly described	1	2	3	4	5
Implications for future work are discussed	1	2	3	4	5
Presenter acknowledged project limitations	1	2	3	4	5

### POSTER PRESENTATION

### FEEDBACK

Overall poster design is organized and easy to follow	1	2	3	4	5
Graphic elements contribute to overall understanding of the topic	1	2	3	4	5
Text is easy to read (e.g. size, color contrast, style)	1	2	3	4	5
Poster is free of spelling and grammatical errors	1	2	3	4	5

### COMMUNICATION SKILLS

### FEEDBACK

Presenter engaged in appropriate conversation with the evaluator	1	2	3	4	5
Presenter made eye contact	1	2	3	4	5
Presenter maintained professionalism (poised, no fidgeting, etc.)	1	2	3	4	5
Presenter effectively used available time	1	2	3	4	5

**ADDITIONAL FEEDBACK:**