

MID-MICHIGAN SYMPOSIUM FOR UNDERGRADUATE RESEARCH EXPERIENCES

POSTER PRESENTATION FEEDBACK

PRESENTER NAME(S): _____

POSTER NUMBER: _____

EVALUATOR: _____

FOR EACH AREA BELOW, PLEASE PROVIDE FEEDBACK USING THE FOLLOWING SCALE:

1: Poor/Not Addressed

2: Fair

3: Good

4: Great

5: Exceptional

INTRODUCTION AND GOALS

FEEDBACK

Literature review adequately cites existing research or scholarship regarding the topic	1	2	3	4	5
Appropriate hypothesis and/or research questions stated	1	2	3	4	5
Goals and objectives clearly stated	1	2	3	4	5

METHODS

FEEDBACK

Methods utilized are clearly explained	1	2	3	4	5
--	---	---	---	---	---

RESULTS, DISCUSSION, & FUTURE WORK

FEEDBACK

Progress to date is described	1	2	3	4	5
Figures/tables accurately convey information	1	2	3	4	5
Results are accurately described	1	2	3	4	5
Implications for future work are discussed	1	2	3	4	5
Presenter acknowledged project limitations	1	2	3	4	5

POSTER PRESENTATION

FEEDBACK

Overall poster design is organized and easy to follow	1	2	3	4	5
Graphic elements contribute to overall understanding of the topic	1	2	3	4	5
Text is easy to read (e.g. size, color contrast, style)	1	2	3	4	5
Poster is free of spelling and grammatical errors	1	2	3	4	5

COMMUNICATION SKILLS

FEEDBACK

Presenter made eye contact	1	2	3	4	5
Presenter maintained professionalism (poised, no fidgeting, etc.)	1	2	3	4	5
Presenter effectively used available time	1	2	3	4	5

ADDITIONAL FEEDBACK: