



# Oral Presentation Feedback

**PRESENTER NAME[S]:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Category:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Section:** \_\_\_\_ **Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Use the scale below to evaluate the content of the oral presentation.**

0 = Poor/Not Addressed; 1 = Developing; 2 = Competent; 3 = Exemplary

Criteria	Poor/ NA	Dev	Comp	Exemp.
<b>Statement of research problem/rationale</b>				
1. Clearly states the hypothesis or question(s) addressed.	0	1	2	3
2. Effectively explains the justification/significance of the project.	0	1	2	3
<b>Literature Review</b>				
3. Adequately cites existing research or scholarship regarding the topic.	0	1	2	3
4. Explains why this study is needed (e.g., to fill gaps or add to existing knowledge).	0	1	2	3
<b>Methods/Theoretical Framework:</b>				
5. Clearly describes the methods used and/or theoretical approaches.	0	1	2	3
<b>Analysis/Results:</b>				
6. Clearly and accurately presents findings or arguments.	0	1	2	3
<b>Discussion/Conclusion:</b>				
7. Explains the importance of the findings.	0	1	2	3

**Use the scale below to answer the questions regarding organization and presentation skills.**

SA = Strongly Agree    A = Agree    N = Neither    D = Disagree    SD = Strongly Disagree

General Organization	SA	A	N	D	SD
Logical sequence of ideas and supporting information.					
Visual aids have professional appearance.					
Effectively used time.					

Presentation Skills	SA	A	N	D	SD
Made good eye contact.					
Used appropriate volume when speaking.					
Enunciated clearly.					
Had smooth transitions between sections.					
Competently answered questions.					

Strengths (optional):

---



---

Weaknesses (optional):

---



---

**RETURN FORM TO REGISTRATION TABLE BEFORE LEAVING THE FORUM. THANK YOU.**