

							Use a five point scale to score each criteria. Total Points will tabulate automatically. Maximum score is 30.						Select only one first place winner	
							1 = Poor/Not Addressed 2 = Fair 3 = Good 4 = Great 5 = Exceptional							
Coordinating Presenter		Title	Type	Category	Section	Presentation Number	Delivery	Comprehensibility	Significance	Elements	Current Status	Visual Aids	Total Points	First Place
Willis	Spartan	UURAF Presentation	Poster	Agriculture and Animal Science	1									

Evaluate presentations according to the following criteria:

DELIVERY: The presentation was organized and delivered in a clear, engaging, and professional manner.

COMPREHENSIBILITY: The presentation could be understood by an educated but non-expert audience.

SIGNIFICANCE: The presenter explained the significance of the work, and how it contributes to broader research on the subject area.

ELEMENTS: The presenter adequately addressed the project's essential elements: *Introduction, literature review, conceptual framework, methods, & analysis*

CURRENT STATUS: The presenter effectively illustrated the current status of the project (e.g., results, discussion of findings)

VISUAL AIDS: The visual aids were appropriate, effectively used, and appealing.

Evaluations due by April 14 at 5:00 PM